ADDED VALUES IN SUSTAINABLE URBAN DRAINAGE SYSTEMS: WET BASINS IN GREATER COPENHAGEN

Thesis Talk Marco Carreira Silva

#KUThesis #ThesisTalk









- Background
- Problem & Approach
- Findings
- Discussion
- Improvements
- Conclusions

♣ KØBENHAVNS UNIVERSITET

Background

Sustainable Urban Drainage Systems | SUDS









SUDS Added Values & Shortcomings



The overarching principle of SuDS design is that surface water runoff should be managed for maximum benefit.

CIRIA, 2015

UNIA

Problem & Approach

Problem

• Little knowledge of SUDS design considerations for added values

Study's Objective

• Explore to which extent SUDS design considers potential added values

Approach







Age	Area	Permanent	Storage
(yrs)	(m ²)	pool depth (m)	depth (m)
3	1400	1.3	0.5



- Schønherr
- Rambøll
- Fredensborg Forsyning
- Fredensborg Kommune
- Carlberg/Christensen





















Age (yrs)		
2		
Area (m ²)		
360		
Permanente		
pool depth (m)		
1.5		
Storage depth		
(m)		
0.1		



DSI Holmegårdsparken

Opland

Orbicon



O Holmegårdsparken – Site Visits













Analytical Framework

Supporting | Biodiversity

Regulating | Water Quality

Regulating | GHG

Regulating | Air Quality

Added Values Regulating | Microclimate

Provisioning | Food

Provisioning | Raw Materials

Cultural | Recreation

Cultural | Education

Cultural | Aesthetics Ecosystem Services by favour native habitats and species align with local biodiversity objectives establish habitat connectivity close to non-intensively managed landscapes paths do not disturb wildlife smaller ponds in the proximity trees and shrubs against excessive waterfowl depths vary and includes depths over 1 meter several plant species open and slightly shaded areas depth above 1.5 m have recirculation of water deeper bottom closer to the outlet breeding corners and small islands for waterfowl path of overflow waters kept to a minimum Design Features By CIRIA and literature two-phase approach taken



Design Considerations for Added Values



Design Considerations for Added Values



Design Considerations for Added Values



Limited consideration in the design.

Most features related to suitable water quality.

Assumed to be sufficient based on common practices and no tests done.



Lacked consideration in design, but addressed through tours.



Key to preserve several pursued added values and a common issue.

Discussion

Discussion

- Education supporting SUDS practice
- Natural succession not allways favours biodiversity
- Added values are not the holy grail of design
- CIRIA's guidelines biased and context-specific

Improvements

Design Improvements Examples

- Biodiversity
 - Basin bottom with varying depths and deeper close to outlets
- Water Quality
 - Identifying pollutant profile, set goals and test discharge
- Education
 - Interpretation boards for citizens and professionals
- Maintenance
 - Operation and maintenance manuals and workshop transition



Conclusions

Conclusions

- Design considers multiple added values
- Several added values are not fully tapped
- Assumptions seem to be frequent
- Maintenance lacks attention
- Need to integrate broader expertise

Problem | Little knowledge of SUDS design considerations for added values

Objective | Explore to which extent SUDS design considers potential added values

Marco Carreira Silva

Climate Adaptation | Technology Innovation | Project Management

Competencies

- Climate adaptation innovation development
- Design and assessment of SUDS
- International project management
- Academic background
- MSc. climate change (UCPH)
- MSc. techno-anthropology (AAU CPH)
- Eng. information technology (ISCTE-IUL)

D _{evelo}	<i>mbition</i>
export of climate	^{innent} and innovative
Solutions Wo	Drldwide
	100.



Thank you.

